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Abstract

Although the text of the *Devīmāhātmya* is itself a section of the *Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa*, recent scholarship has taken a primarily extrinsic approach to the text and its use by emphasizing the life of the *Devīmāhātmya* (henceforth *DM*) well apart from the textual tradition of the purāṇas. A reading of the *DM* in the context of the *MārkP* is instructive, because it reveals some interesting thematic connections that are indicative of larger thematic trends within the purāṇa, which prior extrinsic studies have not explored. Broadly speaking, these themes glorify women and Goddesses as positive manifestations of some fundamental female energy and efficacy. These themes occur in the *DM* and also occur in other narratives within the *MārkP* that praise female actors who restore order in times of crisis.

An evaluation of these thematic connections will show that the *DM* is best understood not only as an important text in its own right, but as an important piece of the *MārkP* as a whole. Conversely, the subject matter of the *MārkP* should not be viewed as unrelated to that of the *DM*. Therefore, my thesis is a preliminary effort to situate a text glorifying the Goddess in its purāṇic context through an analysis of narrative and thematic content.
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Introduction

This thesis is a study of the narrative contents of the Devīmāhātmya in the context of the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa. Although the text of the Devīmāhātmya is itself a section of the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa, recent scholarship has taken a primarily extrinsic approach to the text and its use by emphasizing the life of the Devīmāhātmya (henceforth DM) well apart from the textual tradition of the purāṇas. Similar to the Bhagavadgītā, the DM has a vital life outside of the textual tradition in which it is embedded, and as an independent text, the DM plays an integral role in devotional practice for many groups and individuals who worship the Goddess in India today. While the life of the DM apart from the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa (henceforth MārkP) has been studied, the locus classicus of this text is the MārkP, and the significance of this fact has not been addressed in any critical study.¹

¹ Certain scholars have addressed the importance of the DM as a primary text in traditions of Goddess worship in India (Coburn 1991). Other scholars have studied the DM in comparison with other texts of Goddess traditions (Brown, 1974, 1990), in the history of the Śākta tradition (Payne 1933), in historical surveys of Sanskrit literature (Gonda 1977, Farquar 1920, Rocher 1986, and Goudriaan 1981), in comparative analysis (Berkson 1995, and O’Flaherty 1980), and in other ethnographic studies of themes related to Goddess worship in India (see Biardeau 2004, and Erndl 1993). In my research I have found no intrinsic analysis of the DM in relation to its context in the MārkP.
A reading of the *DM* in the context of the *MārkP* is instructive, because it reveals some interesting thematic connections that are indicative of larger thematic trends within the purāṇa, which prior extrinsic studies have not explored. Broadly speaking, these themes glorify women and Goddesses as positive manifestations of some fundamental female energy and efficacy. These themes occur in the *DM* and also occur in other narratives within the *MārkP* that praise female actors who restore order in times of crisis. An evaluation of these thematic connections will show that the *DM* is best understood not only as an important text in its own right, but as an important piece of the *MārkP* as a whole. Conversely, the subject matter of the *MārkP* should not be viewed as unrelated to that of the *DM*.

Contextualizing the *DM* within the *MārkP* is a necessary first step toward understanding the narrative coherence of the purāṇa that must have existed for certain people in a particular location at a particular time. It is not possible for scholarship to arrive at certainty regarding the intentions of the ancient Indian authors; but I shall show that the text of the *MārkP* was compiled with certain

\[\text{\footnotesize \textsuperscript{2}}\]

In the *DM*, the male Gods are repeatedly involved in conflicts with *asuras* (demons). In these situations, the Gods call upon the Goddess with words of praise and she conquers the *asuras* on their behalf. In the other stories from the *MārkP* that I will discuss, the Goddess and certain powerful women are portrayed in a similar light; female power is requested and praised in crisis situations that the male Gods are unable to correct.
goals in mind. One such goal, as indicated by the aforementioned thematic
trends, was the glorification of a feminine power. Therefore, my thesis is a
preliminary effort to situate a text glorifying the Goddess in its purāṇic context
through an analysis of narrative and thematic content.

The first chapter explores the MārkP and the DM within the scope of prior
pūrāṇic scholarship. The debate over the importance of pūraṇas within Sanskrit
literature and the scholastic importance of purāṇic studies has been the subject
of a prolonged debate. Some early studies of the purāṇas viewed the texts as
damaged copies of earlier texts and, therefore, failed to see the texts as
deserving in-depth study. The fact that a study such as this one has not been
undertaken previously may be a result of these early dismissive attitudes towards
the purāṇas. A brief overview of this early scholarship will be provided, followed
by a more sustained discussion of contemporary approaches to the study of the
purāṇas. Also, this chapter includes a section that addresses the history and
dating of the MārkP (and the DM in it) so as to place the text in its historical
context—to the limited extent that this is possible.

The second chapter examines the text of the DM in its most immediate
context. In it, the three main narratives, the frame-story, and the stotras (hymns
of praise) of the DM are examined in detail. Particular attention will be paid to
the important themes that are found throughout the DM, the stotras dedicated to
the Goddess, and the language that is used to describe her nature as ultimate reality.

The third chapter takes the prominent themes and narrative elements from the DM and examines these in the context of three other stories from the second section of the MārkP (Chap. 10-44). These are: the story of the Brahmin woman and Anasūyā, the story of Dāttatreya and Lakṣmī, and the story of Sarasvatī and the Nāga king Aśvatara. This chapter will call attention to the important similarities between the portrayal of the female actors and the Goddess in the stories from the second section and the stories of the DM. We shall see that these parallels, between the narratives of the surrounding purāṇa and the text embedded within it, are indicative of a larger pattern within the MārkP.

Because this paper is only a first step towards a larger and more comprehensive project, the Conclusion outlines plans for future research and possible projects that will extend and enlarge upon what this study begins.
Chapter One: Approaching the Text and its Context

The Purāṇas as a Literary Tradition

The texts of the purāṇas form the most extensive and perhaps the most complex body of Sanskrit literature. Since the purāṇas are texts derived from an oral history, they were committed to writing over an extended period of time and the stories they contain have no clear author or origin. Within the Hindu tradition, it is understood that the texts of the purāṇas serve as complements to the Vedas and as a type of Veda (purāṇaveda) themselves. Despite the fact that they may expand upon the Vedas, the purāṇas are quite different from the Vedas in both structure and function. The words of the Vedas are fixed and memorized with great care so as always to be recited in the same way. Purāṇas, when presented

---

3 Ludo Rocher et al., The Purāṇas, vol. 3 of The History of Indian Literature, ed. J. Gonda (Wiesbaden, Germany: Otto Harrassowitz, 1986), 2. There are 18 mahāpurāṇas, 18 upa-purāṇas and other miscellaneous texts calling themselves purāṇas that do not traditionally fall into these two categories.
4 Rocher, 39.
5 Frederick Smith has addressed this topic in detail. He understands the term purāṇaveda as having two different levels of meaning. In the literal sense as “ancient knowledge” and in the sense of a text that contains the message of the Vedas, but is dynamic and able to be “constantly renewed” in its transmission to the common people. Frederick M. Smith, “Purāṇaveda,” in Authority, Anxiety, and Canon: Essays in Vedic Interpretation ed. Laurie L. Patton (Albany: SUNY Press, 1994), 97.
orally by a sūta or paurāṇika (Indian bard and storyteller), are recited in Sanskrit or a regional language with the more important points accompanied by an extemporaneous commentary. The oral exposition of the paurāṇika might include material from an array of different texts (the variety of textual sources was a testament to the storyteller’s skill and memory) tailored to fit the specific location and audience. The purāṇas are now known primarily in their written forms, which emerged from manuscript traditions that give evidence of a wealth of stories characterized by a high level of textual flexibility that has allowed for variant readings, and the addition of stories over time. The purāṇas have also enjoyed mass popularity since their transmission was not restricted according to caste, as was the case with other religious texts, such as the Vedas.

As the term purāṇa suggests, the texts are records of archaic times and events that J.N. Farquhar has described as “books of origins.” These

---


7 Narayana Rao, “Purāṇa” 114.

8 Narayana Rao, “Purāṇa” 97.

9 The word purāṇa signifies something ancient and may refer to the literary genre itself, but also to the intentions of the authors to locate the events of the texts in the “primordial” past. The texts themselves are more recent developments in Sanskrit literature and most are thought to have been compiled during the Gupta Empire 320-600 CE.
texts contain accounts of creation, extensive genealogies of kings and seers, geography, the origin of the *Vedas*, and descriptions of early history.\textsuperscript{11} The *purāṇas* are also religious texts of great importance: most of the extant *purāṇas* are thought to have a direct sectarian affiliation, although the significance of this sectarianism has been subject to some debate.\textsuperscript{12} Over time, as individual sects devoted to particular deities such as Viṣṇu, Śiva, and the Goddess began to form, these groups began to intertwine their own important narratives— in support a certain deity as the *parameśvara* (supreme Lord) — with the other elements of the *purāṇas*.\textsuperscript{13} Thus, the *purāṇas* could be seen as a useful tool for emerging religious communities seeking to locate their theologies in the primordial past.

Another consequence of *purāṇic* sectarian affiliation, and the addition of narratives over time, is the distinctly aggregative nature of the texts.


\textsuperscript{11} Farquhar, 137.

\textsuperscript{12} Of the *purāṇas* classified as mahāpurāṇas the majority are identified with Viṣṇu. Śiva is the second in frequency. Other *purāṇas* may have multiple affiliations. Sectarianism was a focus of early scholars who saw it as a relatively recent and therefore negative development that obscured the character of an older and more valuable text. Ludo Rocher and Vans Kennedy generally argued that the importance of sectarianism both as a topic and as a tool in determining the age of a text was exaggerated. Rocher, 21.

\textsuperscript{13} Farquhar suggests that this is a trend that began, in much the same way as the epic *Mahābhārata*, with sects devoted to Kṛṣṇa. Farquhar, 137.
Despite their popularity or perhaps because of it, purāṇas have long posed a challenge to critical scholars, Western and Indian alike, because they are texts that, as Velcheru Narayana Rao writes, “defy ready description, classification, authorship, or dating.”

Because of the challenges listed above, Ludo Rocher has said that there has been a “comparative neglect of the purāṇas vis-à-vis other branches of Sanskrit literature.”

Earlier scholars like R.C. Hazra and H.H. Wilson, who were translating and writing about the purāṇas during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, saw the texts as very poor representations of any sort of serious literature. This early sense of the purāṇas led scholars to conclude that they must be corrupted and damaged copies of the earlier texts.

Rocher cites 19th c. scholar Vans Kennedy as an exception to this view. Vans Kennedy argued that the perceived state of disorganization or discordance within the purāṇas was characteristic of early attempts to commit a vast oral tradition to writing.

While I do not intend to provide an exhaustive history of purāṇa scholarship and the ensuing academic debates, this early sense that the purāṇas were less than ideal examples of

---

14 Narayana Rao, “Purāṇa” 97.
15 Rocher, 4.
16 Rocher, 39.
17 Rocher, 39.
Sanskrit literature is important to understanding these early studies of the texts.

In their evaluations of the purāṇas, early scholars generally assumed that there must have been some better “original” copies of the texts that represented a higher level of cohesiveness and organizational style.18 Some scholars also made the argument that there had been at one time a single purāṇa (the “Ur-Purāṇa”) that contained all the texts of the extant purāṇas.19 Hazra explains in great detail (esp. in the case of the Liṅga Purāṇa) which sections of the text may correspond with the original, as opposed to those sections that represent a “destructive recast to which the earlier purāṇa was subjected.”20 The lack of similarity between the “perfected” Vedas and the disorganized purāṇas often relegated the latter to an inferior position and neglect. In fact, Rocher mentions a guru by the name of Virājānanda who described the purāṇas as non-ārṣa works (not the works of ṛṣīs) that should be given no authority whatsoever.

---

18 Rocher, 40.
19 Rocher, 41. This assumption was also related to the fact that many purāṇas contained sections with similar topics and some quotes attributed to purāṇas in Dharma literature, which have not been located in extant purāṇic texts.
20 R.C. Hazra, Studies in the Purānic Records on Hindu Rites and Customs (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publisher, 1940), 94.
One problem with the theory of the Ur-Purāṇa is the lack of any “original” text. Scholars have found no purāṇas that they would consider to be the original text in any of the extant purāṇas. Scholar Willibald Kirfel criticized the idea that there existed some original and undiscovered text; he characterized the assumption that there was an unpreserved Ur-Purāṇa as “arbitrary” since “except for a few secondary verses, nothing points to the possibility.” Kirfel cautioned that “to solve the real mystery of the purāṇas, we cannot go beyond the textual tradition, which is the one and only reliable point of departure.” His method of textual criticism approached the texts of existing purāṇas as different manuscripts of the same text that emerged from a common source. Therefore, any attempts to reconstruct an earlier text would have to be based upon similarities between existing texts.

---

21 The fact that the concept of one original and undiscovered text persisted was, according to Rocher, the result of Western methods of textual criticism that, while applicable to editions of Greek and Latin texts, were not as successful with the purāṇas. It is the case that certain Indian scholars posited the existence of an original Purāṇa, but they came to this conclusion via a different route. According to tradition, the Purāṇasamhitā was transmitted by Vyāsa to his five students who then created their own versions of the text. Rocher, 45.
22 Translation of Kirfel taken from Rocher, 44.
23 Translation of Kirfel taken from Rocher, 44.
24 Rocher, 44.
While a discussion of the early scholarly conceptions of purāṇas is interesting and important, it could be the subject of an entire study unto itself. So, the focus will now shift slightly to discuss a perspective on the purāṇas that will aid this study. A view of the purāṇas that sees the aggregative nature of the texts as integral to the function of the texts can be found in the work of Velcheru Narayana Rao, who has described the purāṇas as a reflection of Brahminic ideology. Narayana Rao suggests that while the purāṇas may be “ideologically closed texts,” since they promote a specifically Brahminic ideology, they are also “functionally open texts,” in their ability to absorb different ideas and mold them to fit within that ideology. According to Narayana Rao, the purāṇas have “accepted into their fold the events, stories, legends and occurrences of many regions and communities, transforming them to conform to a fixed ideology.”

Another term used to refer to purāṇas is itihāsapurāṇa (the ancient events of the world). In this case, it is the world as understood by an elite priestly class of people who interpreted the traditional oral histories of India.

---

26 Narayana Rao, “Ideology” 94.
27 Narayana Rao, “Ideology” 95.
according to their own conceptions of history. Since the purāṇas are written texts comprised of stories passed down through an oral tradition, they do not contain new or "original" stories. This does not mean that the texts themselves do not represent original and important works.

The genre-mark of the purāṇas is the presentation of the *pañcalakṣaṇa*—(five distinguishing marks). These five marks include: *sarga*, the accounts of the creation of the universe; *pratisarga*, the story of the recreation of the universe after its dissolution; *vaṃśa*, genealogies of the Gods and other divine beings or forces; *manvantara*, the history of a Manu (king) of the fourteen Manu-intervals in each *kalpa* (vast period of time); and *vaṃśānuca*ritā, a history of the kings in the particular *manvantara* in question. Narayana Rao points out that these are the distinguishing marks that designate a text as part of the purāṇa tradition and thus a reflection of the ideology of the Brahmin elite. The *pañcalakṣaṇa* represent Brahmin culture and its understanding of time and place. To quote Narayana Rao; “All civilizations make their own past to make sense of the present, to control the present…this is done not just by altering the ‘facts’ of the past but by creating a new way of perceiving the

---

28 Narayana Rao, “Ideology” 94.
29 Narayana Rao, “Purāṇa” 99.
The Brahmin elite reinvented the ancient stories and organized them within their own historical framework. In this way, the purāṇas represent the development of a way of perceiving the “facts” that legitimized Brahmin authority.

**The Mārkandeya Purāṇa**

Rocher summarizes general scholarly opinion when he writes that the MārkP has been classified as “one of the most important, most interesting, and probably one of the oldest works of the whole purāṇa literature.” Horace Wilson was the first scholar to attempt to assign a date to the MārkP. Wilson dated the MārkP as a 9th century text, a date that was later rejected by scholars such as N.Y. Desai, in favor of the 7th century. Contemporary scholars, such as Tracy Pintchman and Thomas Coburn, generally follow F.E. Pargiter and assign the MārkP a date between 300-600 CE. The explanation for this range of dates can be found in Pargiter’s introduction and will be explained in the following paragraphs.

---

31 Rocher, 192.  
32 Rocher, 195. (Wilson 1840).  
33 Rocher, 196. (Desai, 1965).  
The attempt to ascribe a date to a purāṇa is a complicated task, for the authors had specific intention to locate the characters and events of the early texts in the primordial past; therefore, they eschew overly concrete references to known historic events. To look for clues that will help determine the date of a particular text, scholars usually look for shifts in the narrative (i.e. grammar, verse, speaker, and style) to determine what elements of the text may have been added at the same time. When a certain “section” of the text has been outlined and seems to possess a degree of narrative cohesion, then scholars begin to evaluate that portion for possible clues as to the date of the text. In his edition of the MārkP, Pargiter follows the work of K.M Banerjea who divided the purāṇa into five sections. Pargiter describes these divisions as organic divisions that coincide with the shifts in the narrative and shifts of subject-matter within the text.35 Pargiter explains that his system of arriving at tentative dates for these sections is based upon his own interpretation of the religious content of the text. While he cautions the reader that this system may be “more interesting than convincing,”36 contemporary scholars have not critically revisited these chronological issues.

35 Pargiter writes that the MārkP is “clearly divisible” into five parts. Introduction, iv.
36 Pargiter, xiv.
Please refer to Table 1 which outlines the section divisions based on Pargiter’s system. To supplement the dates given by Pargiter, I have also included some dates based on the work of R.C. Hazra, a scholar who has also done much work with purāṇas. Dates put forth specifically by Hazra are marked by individual notes. An explanation of the table is contained in the paragraphs that follow.

In his review of the individual sections, Pargiter begins with the assertion that the third and fifth sections of the purāṇa are remnants of the “original shape” of the text; this is because in these sections the sage Mārkaṇḍeya (for whom the text is named) is the central figure and narrator. Also, these are the sections that contain the traditionally archaic elements (lakṣaṇas) of purāṇa literature: namely, the genealogies of kingly dynasties, stories of origins of the universe and the Veda, and the detailed geographic information. R.C. Hazra comes to a similar conclusion and considers sections three and five the “original purāṇa.” Both Pargiter and Hazra consider the first and second sections to be later. In these sections Mārkaṇḍeya advises one of his students, Jaimini (also one of Vyāsa’s

38 Pargiter, iv.
39 Hazra, 12.
### Table 1: Pargiter's Section Divisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 1: Chap. 1-9</td>
<td>Mārkanḍeya tells Jaimini to direct his questions to the four wise birds (actually Brahmins in bird-form)</td>
<td>Later than the <em>Mahābhārata</em> and prior to 8th century CE(^{40})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2: Chap. 10-44</td>
<td>Jaimini asks his questions and the birds tell him a related tale of Sumati (Jaḍa) and his father</td>
<td>5th century CE(^{41})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3: Chap. 45-81</td>
<td>Mārkanḍeya gives his student Krauṣṭuki instruction on creation, geography and the account of the <em>Manvantaras</em></td>
<td>The oldest and arguably the “original” section, 3rd century (Hazra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4: Chap. 82-92</td>
<td>The <em>Devīmāhātmya</em> is narrated by the sage Medhas within the <em>Manvantara</em> frame</td>
<td>9th century CE <em>terminus ante quem</em>--5th or 6th <em>terminus post quem</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5: Chap 93-136</td>
<td><em>Manvantara</em> resumed</td>
<td>Original section, 3rd century (Hazra)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^{40}\) Pargiter, Introduction, xvii. In this section Jaimini asks why Viṣṇu assumed a human form in the *Mahābhārata*. The birds’ explanation, that Viṣṇu exists in four forms, one of which is without qualities, belongs to the *Nārada-paṅcarātra*. Pargiter explains that this section does not show evidence of being aware of the reaction to this philosophical doctrine put forth by Sankara in his commentary on the *Brahma Sūtras*. Pargiter writes that since Sankara lived in the 8th century this section was likely composed before his time.

\(^{41}\) Hazra, 11. Assessment based on references to Hindu practices of yoga (Nivṛtti-dharma) and the glorification of the God Brahmā. Hazra writes that in the 7th century Smārta (followers of *smṛti*) Brahmins began to push worship of Brahmā into the background, thus the fact that he is glorified here points to a time before this shift.
students) to seek counsel from four wise birds. Pargiter believes these sections to be later because Mārkaṇḍeya is not the chief character in them, and, most importantly, because the birds themselves make reference to sections three and five and the authority of the teachings of the text. Pargiter and Hazra consider the DM to be the latest addition to the text of the purāṇa. Pargiter describes it as the product of a later time in which worship of the Goddess was popular in Western India (Hazra does not offer any more specific reasons). Pargiter points to the tīrtha Mandhāta, where the worship of Śiva with his consort Kālī was particularly popular, as direct support for this conclusion.

Pargiter’s method, as described earlier, is to evaluate the religious content of the text to see if references to certain deities may offer some clue as to the time period of its composition. Since Pargiter sees the MārkP as lacking any specific sectarian affiliation, he finds it difficult to arrive at any firm conclusions as to the dates of the sections. However, Pargiter points out that the Vedic God Indra and the early post-Vedic God

---

42 Pargiter, v.
43 Pargiter, xiii.
44 Pargiter’s assertion that the MārkP is non-sectarian is not substantial. Farquhar suggests that the MārkP could be affiliated with sects devoted to Brahmā, Durgā, and Sūrya, respectively. The issue of sectarianism in the MārkP may be an interesting topic for a future study.
Brahmā are mentioned with great frequency in the purāṇa as a whole—
Indra in the first and fifth sections and Brahmā in the third and fifth
sections. According to Pargiter, this frequency indicates that these sections
may be the oldest.45 The third and fifth sections show a similar tendency to
focus on ancient Gods such as Agni and Sūrya, therefore, he assigns to
these sections a date sometime in the third century.46 According to
Pargiter, the first section of the MārkP is later than the Mahābhārata as it
provides answers to some interpretive questions regarding this text.

The DM represents the fourth section and is, according to Pargiter a
“pure interpolation”47 and the last section to be included in the MārkP
(sometime in the 6th century). The opinion that the MārkP as a whole is
older than the DM, and that the DM represents an interpolation or
interruption of the purāṇa is an assessment put forth first by Pargiter and
Hazra and by contemporary scholars such as Rocher, Coburn, Pintchman,
and Brown. The estimation of the date of the DM is based upon the
opinion that the DM interrupts the genealogy of the Manus given in section
three—one of the original sections—and continued in section four. Some
evidence for the specific date of the DM (as an independent text) is given

45 Pargiter, xvi.
46 Pargiter, xv.
47 Pargiter, iv.
by Pargiter based upon the discovery of a manuscript of the DM by Mahāmahopādhyāya Haraprasād Śāstrī in the Royal Library of Nepal. This manuscript, in Newari script, is dated 998 CE. From this, Pargiter concludes that the DM could not be later than the 9th century and was likely composed considerably earlier. In addition to the evidence of this manuscript, a stanza which occurs in the DM was found carved on the Dadhimatimātā temple in the former state of Jodhpur, dated 608CE by D.R. Bhandarkar. According to Rocher, Bhandarkar took this inscription as an indication that the DM was likely popular at this time, however, he does not specify if this means the entire text as it appears in Pargiter’s edition or perhaps only some smaller part.

**Approaching the Devīmāhātmya**

Farquhar describes the DM as an important step in the development of literature on the Goddess that began in the Mahābhārata

---

48 Pargiter, xiii. I have found no further description of this manuscript at this time.

49 Pargiter, xiii.

50 Rocher, 195. The inscription is one stanza found in the DM text (the inscription makes no reference to the MārkP). Rocher does not say if the inscription makes specific reference to its being a part of the DM. The line is DM 11.11 sarvamaṅgalamāṅgalye śīve sarvārthasādhike / śaranye tryambake gauri nārāyaṇi namostu te //11// (“O you who are blessed with every happiness, auspicious, successful in all things, O protectress, three-eyed Gaurī, O Nārāyaṇī, hail to you!”).
with the praise of a Goddess, the sister of Kṛṣṇa, who lives in the Vindhya mountains, drinks wine, favors animal sacrifice, and who “upholds heaven by her chastity.”

He views the development of a Devī- worshipping sect as evident from later literature of the *Harivarṇśa, Devīmāhātmya*, and Bāna’s *Chaṇḍīśataka*. All three of these texts praise a Goddess with the same description as that found in the *Dūrga Stava*, which made its way into parts of the *Mahābhārata* textual tradition, and develop further a theology centered on this Goddess as a unified reality and source of salvation for her devotees. Farquhar attributes the development of this sect to the idea that śakti is characterized by energy and activity and devotees would likely see a Goddess possessing such energy as able to get something done for them.

The *DM* is regarded by scholars, such as Thomas Coburn and C. Mackenzie Brown, as a critical text in the development of the Indian

---

51 Farquhar, 149. This description can be found in M.N. Dutt’s translation of the *Dūrgā Stava* 4.6, but is not found in the Critical Edition. The *Dūrga Stava* can be found in most of the Devanāgrī manuscripts used for the Critical Edition of the *Mahābhārata*, but is not found in the manuscripts of the Kashmiri, Bengali, and Southern traditions.

52 Farquhar, 150.

53 Farquhar, 151.

Goddess tradition as it is the “first comprehensive account of the Goddess to appear in Sanskrit.” They have argued that this text represents the unification of local and regional Indian Goddesses under the canopy of one Goddess, thus, it is described as the “crystallization of the Goddess tradition.”

The construction of the identity of a unified Goddess as presented in the DM is important they say, since, for the first time in the Sanskrit language, the Goddess is described as possessing power that is equal to that of the major paramesvaras.

Coburn’s most recent work focuses on the function of the DM in contemporary India. He suggests that the DM is better understood as functioning like the oral text of a Veda, rather than as a written text. He explains that, “it is proper and precise recitation, not cognitive mastery nor


Coburn, The Devī-Māhātmya (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1984). 4. This comprehensive assessment is based in part on the variety of names given to the Goddess in the DM. These names may be indicative of aspects of regional Goddess brought together as one Goddess.


Unlike the Goddesses of the Vedas (note the lowercase ‘g’), Coburn stresses that the Goddess (singular embodiment with a capital ‘G’) of the DM is equal in power and importance to any of the long-standing Gods like Śiva and Viṣṇu.
substantive exegesis that has been the primary concern of the Hindu
tradition as it has embraced the verbal tradition of the *Devī-Māhātmya*.”⁵⁹

In the context of contemporary ritual performance, the text is commonly
referred to as the *Durgā-Saptaśati* (700 verses in praise of *Durgā*).⁶⁰

Coburn is largely unconcerned with the place of the *DM* in the *MārkP*, as
far as his study is concerned. As he studies the text, its inclusion within a
purāṇa has no bearing upon its recitation in the context of a contemporary
group ritual, or a personal devotional setting.⁶¹ While it may not be
relevant to the contemporary ritual or devotional uses of the text, the fact
remains that the *DM* was included within the text of the *MārkP* and there is
presumably some interesting reason for this. As this work will show, the
*DM* is not the only section of the *MārkP* in which the Goddess is praised as
ultimate reality. This fact may show that the *MārkP* is significant for the
Goddess tradition beyond what is contained in the *DM*

---

⁵⁹ Coburn, *Encountering* 30. In her study of the Goddess Šerāṅvālī in present-day Panjab, Kathleen Erndl also emphasizes the fact that the recitation of this text is an important practice for the devotees of Šerāṅvālī (who is identified with Durgā from the *DM* by her devotees). See Kathleen Erndl, *Victory to the Mother* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993).

⁶⁰ When the *DM* appears apart from the *MārkP* under the title of the *Durgā-Saptaśati* it typically includes supplementary chapters known as *arīgas* (limbs) that instruct the reader or person performing the recitation as to the proper performance of ritual or recitation.

Chapter Two: Reading and Interpreting the *Devīmāhātmya*

The Nature and Structure of the *Devīmāhātmya*

The occurrence of the *DM* within the *MārkP* has been described as an interruption or an interpolation that occurs while the sage Mārkaṇḍeya is reciting the genealogies and histories of the various Manus (the *manvantara, lākṣaṇa*). After Mārkaṇḍeya lists the important deities, sages and kings of the Vaivasvata *manvantara*, and the coming of the eighth Manu, Sāvarṇi, the section that is the *DM* begins. After the stories of the *DM* have been told the genealogical account continues where it left off in the previous section. One possible reason for the insertion of the *DM* at this point in the text is that it may have been a way to surprise the audience and to focus attention on the *DM*. The theme of the *manvantara* would have been familiar to an audience that was acquainted with the structure of purāṇas. What would not have been familiar to them is an account of the powers of a great Goddess at work in the world. In the *DM*, one may find stories that could have been familiar to the audience through other textual sources; however, in the *DM* the stories have

---

62 The events narrated in the *DM* are also found in other textual locations with different characters and with narrative elements that vary from version to version. The three main stories from the *DM* can be found in the *Devi Bhāgavata, Brahmavaivarta, Kālikā*, and *Vāmana Purāṇas*. Regarding the slaying of Mahiṣa, Quackenbos cites *MBh* 3.229-231 and 9.44-46. In these stories it is Skanda (the son of the Goddess) who kills the demon.
been altered and compiled in a narrative with the stated purpose of praising and honoring the Goddess. So, while the events may have been familiar to readers, the style of the text as a Māhātmya was something new as a part of the received text of the MārkP.

In a style typical of purāṇa texts, the DM is composed in the very common anuṣṭubh (śloka) meter and it comprises Chapters 82-92 of the 137 Chapters of the MārkP. The text of the DM consists of three stories, the last of which can be divided into three parts, organized within a frame story centered on a king and a vaiśya. All three stories are accompanied by stotras (hymns of praise) to the Goddess. These stories were told to the sage Mārkaṇḍeya by a fellow sage named Medhas. In these stories the Goddess slays the demon pair Madhu and Kaitabha (indirectly), then the demon Mahiṣa, and finally the demon pair Śumbha and Niśumbha. Please see Table 2 below.


63 Jan Gonda defines Māhātmyas as texts that “aim at conveying esoteric lore woven into the narrative background of a discourse between a divine preceptor and a prominent devotee...who seeks help and intervention.” Jan Gonda, Medieval Religious Literature in Sanskrit in A History of Indian Literature Vol. 11 ed. Jan Gonda (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1977), 272.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Story</th>
<th>Main Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Frame Story</td>
<td>Medhas narrates the stories of the Goddess for Suratha and Samādhi. Following the conclusion of the three stories, Suratha and Samādhi worship the Goddess and she grants them boons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The First Story</td>
<td>Brahmā petitions the Goddess (as <em>yoganīdrā</em>) to release Viṣṇu. She does so and Viṣṇu kills the demons Madhu and Kaiṭabha.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Second Story</td>
<td>The Gods create the Goddess from their <em>tejas</em> (splendor) and they give her various weapons and ornaments. The Goddess kills Mahiṣa. (the Buffalo-demon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Third Story</td>
<td>The Gods call upon the Goddess to slay the demon pair Śumbha and Niśumbha. In the process the Goddess also kills the demons Dhūmrālocana and Raktabija. This story features the <em>mātrs</em> (mothers) as manifestations of the Goddess's power (also called <em>śaktis</em>). Kālī is one notable <em>śakti</em>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The frame story presents a narrative background in which the sage Medhas instructs two devotees seeking spiritual advice. Medhas identifies the importance of the Goddess as the ultimate reality of the universe and he instructs his devotees in the details of her worship—a frequent undertaking of Māhātmyas (see note 57). Through the frame story, the reader learns of the tragedy of King Suratha, who had his entire kingdom and all of his wealth stolen from him by his advisors. Unable to stay in his former city, the king travels to the forest where he meets the sage Medhas who permits him to live in his hermitage. Despite his peaceful surroundings, Suratha cannot overcome the persistent memories of his stolen kingdom. While wandering in the forest near the āśrama and pondering the state of his former treasury, the king encounters a vaiśya by the name of Samādhi. Samādhi was born into a wealthy family, but his wicked wife and sons had banished him to the forest and stolen all of his wealth from him. The king and the merchant, troubled by their persistent thoughts of stolen wealth and family, then approach Medhas with appropriate gestures of respect. After listening to their stories, the sage reveals to them that their problems are the direct result of egoism (mamatva) and the delusion that such a focus on the self produces. Medhas informs them that the source of this delusion is the product of the great Goddess Mahāmāyā and that all existence is created through her māyā (creative and world-constructing power). Medhas goes on to say that Mahāmāyā
is the source of the universe, the source of release from *saṃsāra*, and a giver of boons to men. According to the sage, if Suratha and Samādhi desire release from their suffering they should appeal directly to her. Medhas then narrates the three stories of the Goddess.

In each of the three stories that follow, the Goddess is portrayed as a great source of power that must be praised and respected so that she will help the Gods to overcome their enemies. Each of the three presentations of the Goddess differs slightly in its descriptions of her. In the first story of the *DM* the Goddess appears primarily as an ontological reality into which Viṣṇu has been absorbed. She is not so much an actor, but a force that must release the God so that he may act. In the second and third stories of the *DM* the Goddess takes a much more active role. In the last two depictions, the Goddess is formed from the *tejas* (splendor) that arose from the frustration of the Gods after they were unable to conquer the demons who had expelled them from heaven. The final two stories relate in great detail the deaths of the buffalo-demon Mahiṣa, Śumbha and Niśumbha, and their demon armies at the hands of the Goddess who is portrayed as wild and violent as well as gentle and benevolent. Following each of the three stories in the *DM*, there is a *stotra* praising the Goddess. These hymns of praise are offered by the Gods who are the principal beneficiaries of her actions in each story; the Gods praise her fearsome aspects
and her gentle aspects as all united in the exalted being of one ultimate female reality. After king Suratha and the vaiśya Samādhi hear of the power of the Goddess in the three narratives and the hymns praising all her qualities, they begin to worship her and each of them is ultimately granted the boon he desires. Samādhi is granted knowledge that will allow him to attain mokṣa (liberation). The Goddess promises Suratha that he will be reborn as the Manu Sāvarṇi at which time he will regain his kingdom.

**The Events of the First Story**

In the first story, the Goddess is referred to as *yoganidrā* and also as *tāmasī*. In this story, the Goddess has enveloped Viṣṇu as *yoganidrā* while he is floating on Śeṣa in the cosmic waters that cover the earth at the end of each cycle of time (*kalpa*). At this time, two demons named Madhu and Kaiṭabha, are born from Viṣṇu's earwax and they begin to threaten Brahmā who is seated on a lotus emerging from Viṣṇu's navel (*nābhi*). Brahmā is the God of creation and he begins the creative process when he arises from the center or central point

---

64 *Yoganidrā* is defined as the sleep of a yogin, which is like a state of deep meditation. Monier-Williams, s.v. *yoganidrā*.

65 *Tāmasī* is the female embodiment of the *guna, tamas*. All existing beings are composed of *prakṛti* (primary substance, original source), which is made up of three qualities (*gunas*): *sattva* (purity), *rajas* (passion), and *tamas*. *Tamas* is described as heaviness, darkness, and inertia. Monier-Williams, s.v. *prakṛti, tamas*. 

---
(nābhi) of the Lord Viṣṇu. The pair of demons, Madhu and Kaitabha, arises and begins to threaten the order of the creative process that Brahmā represents. In order for Viṣṇu to awaken and slay the demons that are threatening this order, Brahmā decides that he must petition the higher power of the Goddess with words of praise so that she will release Viṣṇu’s body.

Brahmā’s praise of the Goddess is in the form of a stotra of fourteen verses of śloka meter in which the God praises the Goddess as sacred speech (vāc) and as the primordial creative power through which all things are manifested, and which admits of no distinctions within its unified form. In the first two verses of this stotra the Goddess is called Svāhā, Svadhā, and Vasatkāra, which are three of the important exclamations of blessing used during a Vedic

66 Stotras are generally classified as hymns of praise consisting of “separate eulogistic stanzas” directed towards a God, Goddess, or some other divine being. Stotras have been popular in many religious schools and sects and have been important in the spread of bhakti traditions. Stotras typically praise the divine figure using names, describing powers, and listing functions of the respective deity with the intention of expressing devotion. With this display of devotion, the devotee hopes to benefit from this power in some material or spiritual sense (i.e. mokṣa). Stotras may also be recited for the purpose of protection from and victory over enemies. See Jan Gonda et. al. Medieval Religious Literature in Sanskrit, vol. II Fasc. 1 of A History of Indian Literature, ed. J. Gonda (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1986), 232-3.

67 DM 1.54-55. The Goddess Vāc is the deification of the sacred Vedic mantra. Vāc (Holy Utterance) consists of four parts. Three of the parts are silent and secret and the fourth is the language that people speak. Since vāc is the verbally manifested Brahman (śabdabrahman), she is a supreme power and principle in the universe.
sacrifice.\textsuperscript{68} The Goddess is also personified as the threefold \textit{mātrā} (the sound that measures three),\textsuperscript{69} the half-\textit{mātrā} (the sound that measures one-half),\textsuperscript{70} and the eternal \textit{akṣara} (syllable).\textsuperscript{71} The significance of these descriptions is that they are all forms of \textit{vāc}, which is her ultimate reality.

Having recognized and praised the Goddess as \textit{vāc}, in the following verses of the hymn Brahmā recognizes the Goddess as the source of all creation, that which protects all things, and as the source of re-absorption at the

\begin{quote}
\textsc{DM} 1.54-55. \textit{tvam svāhā tvam svadhā tvam hi vaṣṭakāraḥ svarātmikā/ sudhā tvam aksare nitye tridhāmātrātmakāsthitā//54//} ("You are \textit{Svāhā}, you are \textit{Svadhā}, you are \textit{Vaṣat}, speech is your nature. You are the nectar of the Gods, \textit{O} eternal unit of speech! You abide in the \textit{tridhāmātrātmaka.}")

\textit{ardhamātrāsthitā nityā yānuccāryā viṣeṣataḥ/ tvam eva sandhyā sāvitrī tvam devī jananī parā//55//} ("You abide in what is whispered, \textit{eternal}, excellent, which is not to be expressed distinctly. You are the union of the Gayatrī mantra; you are the Goddess, the supreme mother.")
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{68} Monier-Williams, s.v. \textit{svāhā} and \textit{svadhā}.

\textsuperscript{69} The \textit{tridhāmātrā} (3-syllables) refers to the expression \textit{om} (\textit{aum}). \textit{Aum} is a linguistic representation of creation (creation is thought to consist of three aspects).

\textsuperscript{70} The \textit{ardhamātrā} (half-\textit{mātrā}) is the inexpressible aspect of creation, the part of her that is ever latent. According to Andre Padoux, the whispered word was thought to be a more powerful expression than the loud Vedic chanting. This was the case, he explains, because "silence or indistinct or undefined (\textit{anirukta}) speech represent the innumerable, the unlimited…" This type of speech captures the essential and elemental power of sound (\textit{akṣara}). An emphasis on the great power of the indistinct speech is an idea that was deeply influential in the development of Tantric practices that were centered upon the worship of the Goddess. See Andre Padoux, "Mantras— What are They?" in Mantra ed. Harvey P. Alper (Albany: SUNY Press, 1989), 298.

\textsuperscript{71} DM 1.54-55. \textit{tvam svāhā tvam svadhā tvam hi vaṣṭakāraḥ svarātmikā/ sudhā tvam aksare nitye tridhāmātrātmakāsthitā//54//} ("You are \textit{Svāhā}, you are \textit{Svadhā}, you are \textit{Vaṣat}, speech is your nature. You are the nectar of the Gods, \textit{O} eternal unit of speech! You abide in the \textit{tridhāmātrātmaka.}")
end of the world. In this way, three stages of existence: creation, preservation, 
and the ultimate dissolution are all activities of the one Goddess.\textsuperscript{72} He also 
describes the Goddess as the embodiment of certain abstract qualities including: 
vast knowledge (\textit{mahāvidyā}), vast power (\textit{mahāmāyā}), vast mind (\textit{mahāmedhā}), 
great sacred tradition (\textit{mahāsmṛti}), and great delusion (\textit{mahāmohā}).\textsuperscript{73} Lastly, 
Brahmā refers to the Goddess as \textit{mahādevī} (great Goddess) and \textit{mahāsuri} (great demoness).\textsuperscript{74} In this description, the two opposing principles of \textit{deva} and 
\textit{asura} are combined in the Goddess. It is possible that this expression is used to 
demonstrate the nonexistence of distinctions in the Goddess who contains all 
things (this is one aspect of her that is stressed in the following lines). Another 
possible explanation in that the Goddess has many of the same qualities as the 
\textit{asuras}.\textsuperscript{75} In particular, the Goddess’s powers of \textit{mahāmāyā} and \textit{mahāmohā} are

\textsuperscript{72} DM 1.56-57. \textit{tvayaitad dhāryate viśvam tvayaitat srījate jagat/ tvayaitat pālyate devī 
tvam atsyante ca sarvadā//56//} (“By you everything is supported, by you this world is 
created, by you it is protected. O Goddess, you always consume it at the end.”) 
\textit{visrṣṭau sṛṣṭirūpā tvam sthīturūpā ca pālane/ tathā samḥṛtirūpānte jagato’ sya 
jaganmaye//57//} (“In sending-forth you are embodied as sending and in maintaining you 
are embodied as the staying; so also, in the end of the world you are destruction. O 
Goddess, you contain the entire world.”)

\textsuperscript{73} DM 1.58

\textsuperscript{74} DM 1.58

\textsuperscript{75} Here “\textit{asura}” basically signifies a being with extraordinary power. In the Vedas the 
\textit{asuras} were not exclusively conceived of as demons, rather as beings with extraordinary 
power (\textit{māyā}). Hale rejects the idea that there was an original separation of \textit{devas} and
especially asurī-like. Wash Edward Hale cites one instance in the Kauśītaki Brāhmaṇa in which Indra is “charmed” by the asuramāyā of an asurī, but he is able to evade her power by reciting certain mantras.76 This connection between the Goddess and “asuric” qualities is important and this is a topic I will revisit in the following section.

As the stotra continues, Brahmā also describes the Goddess as prakṛti (originary matrix), which manifests all of the three guṇas.77 In addition to prakṛti, the Goddess is also personified as time, specifically kālarātri (black night), mahārātri (great night) and moharātri (night of delusion).78 In addition to her fearsome qualities, the Goddess is also śrī (splendor) and as such she possesses many virtues including: peacefulness, modesty, and beauty.79 She contains all oppositions and subsumes all distinctions in her ultimate unity. The

asuras. The Gods and their enemies could both be described as asuras. The origin of the separation of the asuras and devas into two opposed classes of beings is not certain. Wash Edward Hale, Áṣura in Early Vedic Religion (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1986) 76 Hale, 132-133. Hale does not give a definition for asuramāyā (a compound in this case) in this example, but in another example he provides from the Vājasaneyi Samhitā he defines asurī māyā as “nature.” In this second example it is the Goddess earth (devi prthivi) who is described as possessing asurī māyā.

77 DM 1.59.

78 DM 1.59.

79 DM 1.60. tvam śrīś tvam īśvarī tvam hrīś tvam buddhir boddha lakṣaṇā/ lajjā puṣṭis tathā tuṣṭis tvam śāntih kṣāntīr eva ca/60// (“You are śrī, you are the lord, you are modesty, you are insight, which is characteristic of knowledge. You are modesty, prosperity; you are also satisfaction, peace, and patience.”)
Goddess is gentle and extremely beautiful while she is also the demoness mahāsurī, the darkest and most fearsome night who possesses many weapons.\(^{80}\)

In the final verses of the *stotra* (1.63-1.67), Brahmā says that Viṣṇu and Śiva as well as himself possess bodily form only through the power of the Goddess. Brahmā recognizes the fact that even Viṣṇu, a powerful God, is second in power to the Goddess; Viṣṇu’s very capacity to act is dependent upon the Goddess. With this concession, Brahmā, a God himself, is prompted to ask how he can adequately praise a power as vast and incomprehensible as the Goddess.\(^{81}\) Finally, Brahmā requests that the Goddess confuse the two asuras so that they may be defeated. When she is satisfied with the praise from Brahmā she releases Viṣṇu so that he may awaken to slay the demons, which he does with some assistance from the Goddess.

In this first story of the *DM* the Goddess appears primarily as an ontological reality as opposed to a corporeal form like Viṣṇu and Brahmā. She is not so much an actor, as she is a releaser. However, the *DM* does say that the two demons were deluded (*vimohitau*) by Māhāmāyā and this delusion either causes them to be filled with arrogance or is coupled with it, which leads them to

\(^{80}\) *DM* 1.61

\(^{81}\) *DM* 1.63 *tvam kim stūyase tadā //63// (“In that case, how can I praise you?”)
make a fatal error. They offer Viṣṇu a boon and he chooses that they permit themselves to be killed. The two demons tell Viṣṇu they are honored to be killed by him, and thinking they can trick the God, they ask that he not slay them anywhere the earth (urvī) is flooded. Viṣṇu agrees and taking the demons on his thigh (ūru) he kills them both. In this story it is the demons’ pride that leads them to think they can outwit Viṣṇu, but it is their delusion by the Goddess that is the ultimate source of their arrogance and hence, their destruction.

The first story presents one variation of a common theme that runs throughout the DM: the presentation of the Goddess as a source of efficacy that can restore order when she is praised. The Goddess’s assistance is contingent upon the devotee or petitioner recognizing and expressing that her power is the primary force behind the action that is desired. In other words, although Brahmā wants Viṣṇu to awaken and to slay the demons he must first recognize and express the fact that the Goddess is the highest reality in which Viṣṇu has been absorbed. In her analysis of this first story of the DM, Kathleen Erndl expresses this idea. She writes: “In this hymn Brahmā calls her the creator, preserver, and destroyer of the universe, as well as prakṛti, Mahādevī (the great Goddess) and Mahāsurī (great demoness). These epithets imply that she is the

---

82 DM 1.78

actual force behind all the activities of the Gods, indeed, all the activities of the universe." Erndl, 148. Brahmā’s use of these epithets for the Goddess in the stotra describe her as the primary force behind all things as well as show the benefit of a verbal expression of this truth.

The Events of the Second Story

After he narrates the first story, Medhas immediately begins to narrate the second story. In the second story, the Goddess does not give the Gods their bodily form (as in the first story); in this case she is formed from the tejas (vital power and splendor) of the Gods. Furious after being expelled from heaven by the demon Mahiṣa, who had taken Indra’s place as chief, the thirty Gods gathered to devise a solution to their problem. They traveled to the place where Viṣṇu and Śiva were to explain to them how the Gods (Sūrya, Indra, Agni, Vāyu, Candra, and Yama) had been displaced and were forced to wander the earth as mortals. The brilliance and splendor that arose from the great frustration of the Gods came together into a mountain of light and from this the Goddess was formed. From the tejas of each of the Gods, different parts of her body were formed and they each gave her different weapons, beautiful garments and

---

84 Erndl, 148.
85 tejas is a principal component of śukra (semen). Monier-Williams, s.v. tejas.
ornaments, a cup of wine, and a lion as her mount. When the Goddess has been sufficiently armed and ornamented, she lets out a great laugh that fills every space and that shakes even the mountains. After they witness this display of her power, the Gods, sure of their victory, praise the Goddess.

The text then relates in detail the death of all of Mahiṣa's generals and armies at the hands of the Goddess, who is portrayed as wild and violent. She fights all of the asuras and defeats them single-handedly. When the asura armies have all been slain, one surviving general, Cikṣura, approaches to attack, but is struck down by the Goddess. Another, by the name of Cāmara comes forward and he too is struck down. At the climax of this episode, the Goddess, after drinking wine (one of her traits as a āsuri), finally conquers and beheads the buffalo-demon Mahiṣa. The process of killing Mahiṣa is somewhat delayed due to his ability to alter his form. The demon becomes a lion, human, and an elephant before finally succumbing to the Goddess. The story ends with the

---

86 The text describes the Goddess as being honored (sammānitā) by these gifts from the Gods.
87 *DM* 2.33-34. *cukṣubhuh sakalā lokāḥ samudrāś ca cakampire/ cacāla vasudhā celuh sakāś ca mahīdharāḥ//33// (“All the worlds shook and the oceans trembled, the ground quaked and all the mountains were agitated.”)
88 *DM* 3.36-39.
Goddess granting the Gods a boon. This boon ensures that whenever the Gods require her services in the future, she will come and destroy whatever or whomever is troubling them.

Although this story emphasizes the fearsome power of the Goddess, who accomplished something the Gods could not in killing Mahiṣa, this episode is followed by a stotra of twenty-six verses praising the Goddess in her more gentle form (perhaps as an effort to calm her after the violence of battle). The stotra begins with the Gods and other heavenly beings praising the Goddess as vāc, prakṛti, and knowledge, in a manner similar to the stotra from the first episode.\(^{89}\) She is called Dūrga, Śrī and Gaurī.\(^{90}\) The Gods continue to praise her beauty and poise, but they also emphasize the power of her wrath as displayed in her victory over Mahiṣa.\(^{91}\) The stotra attempts to reconcile the violent actions of the Goddess in battle with her goodness and benevolence by expressing that even though the Goddess did slay the evil asuras, she did so wishing that they go to heaven.\(^{92}\) Along with her marvelous feats of strength, it is the combination

\(^{89}\) DM 4.1-10.

\(^{90}\) DM 4.10-11. She is Dūrgā (difficult, impassable) because she provides assistance in crossing the difficult waters of existence. She is Śrī, who resides in the heart of Viṣṇu. Finally she is Gaurī, who is Śiva’s wife Pārvatī.

\(^{91}\) DM 4.11-13.

\(^{92}\) DM 4.17-18. ebhir hatair jagadurpati sukham tathaite kurvantu nāma narakāya cirāya pāpam/ samgrāmamṛtyum adhigamya divam prāyantu matveti nūnamahitān vinihamṣi
of this terrible power with compassion that is stressed in the verses. The stotra concludes with the Gods requesting the protection of the Goddess in all of her forms, both benevolent (saumya) and violent (ghora).93 The Goddess, pleased by the praise she has received from the Gods, grants them a boon.94 The Gods request her presence whenever they are troubled and she agrees to grant their request.

The second story of the DM has become one of the most well-known and popular myths of the Goddess. It is not, however, a story unique to the DM. In the Mahābhārata (3.221) it is Skanda, the son of Śiva and Parvatī, who succeeds in killing Mahiṣa. Erndl suggests that scholars using textual sources alone have concluded that the story of Durgā and Mahiṣa was adapted from the Skanda

---

94 DM 2.29. vriyatāṃ tridaśāḥ sarve yadasmatto’bhivāñchitam/ dadāmyahamatiprītyā satvarebhīḥ supūjitā/29// ("All of you thirty Gods, whatever is desired from us let it be chosen. I will give since I am well-worshipped with these hymns of praise.")
myth, perhaps by worshippers of the Goddess.\textsuperscript{95} The image of the Goddess seated on her lion mount (the most popular image of her in the $DM$) is one that likely achieved folk popularity before it was incorporated into the Sanskrit textual tradition. Erndl mentions terracotta images of a Goddess riding a lion and killing a buffalo that were found at Nagar in the Tonk district of Rajasthan and that date between the first century BCE and first century CE.\textsuperscript{96} In their history of India, Kulke and Rothermund mention the founder of the Vakataka Empire in the third century CE was named Vindhyashakti after the Goddess of the Vindhya Mountains.\textsuperscript{97} They also mention that the coins of this empire had an image of a Goddess riding a lion.\textsuperscript{98} This archeological evidence suggests that the image of the Goddess in the $DM$ may have a history that precedes textual accounts. Also, the association of the lion-riding Goddess with the Vindhya Mountains and the nearby Tonk district (Eastern region just south of the Jaipur district) of Rajasthan may provide some useful clues regarding the regions in which the $DM$ was composed. It is interesting to note that the Tonk district of Rajasthan generally

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{95} Erndl, 149.
\item \textsuperscript{96} Erndl, 149.
\item \textsuperscript{97} Herman Kulke and Dietmar Rothermund, \textit{A History of India} (Barnes and Noble: Totowa, New Jersey, 1986), 89.
\item \textsuperscript{98} Kulke and Rothermund, 89.
\end{itemize}
corresponds with the location, near the Narmada River, where Pargiter believes the \textit{MārkP} was composed.

\textbf{The Events of the Third Story}

The boon promised by the Goddess in the second story is granted in the third. Once again, the order of nature and the cosmos is threatened when a pair of demons steals the power of the Gods and their rights to the sacrifice. The Gods remember the boon promised them by the Goddess and begin to praise her.

The third story of the \textit{DM} has a similar theme to that of the second; it relates the story of the Goddess slaying the demons Šumbha and Niśumbha. In this story the Goddess is portrayed not only as a fearsome warrior, but also as a beautiful woman who is desired by the demon brothers. Šumbha sends a messenger named Sugrīva to offer a marriage proposal on his behalf. Sugrīva tells the Goddess that if she chooses one of the wealthy and powerful demons as her husband she will obtain supreme power. The Goddess tells the messenger that she is unable to accept because of a previous vow that she had made. According to this vow, the Goddess could only marry a man who conquered her in battle. Sugrīva is offended by her response and threatens her, saying that if she refuses he will drag her by the hair to the feet of the demons. The Goddess
again refuses and Sugrīva returns to Śumbha. Śumbha first sends his general Dhūmrarocana (“Eyes of Smoke”) to drag the Goddess back, but he is reduced to ash by her menacing growl (hunīkāra). Two other generals, Caṇḍa and Muṇḍa and their armies meet a similar fate. A battle then ensues, and the Goddess slaughters the armies of the asuras.

In this story the Goddess is aided by the mātrs (mothers); these Goddesses are the śaktis (active principles, prakṛtis) of the Gods Brahmā, Śiva, Viśṇu, Skanda and Indra and each of them possessed the weapon and mount of their respective male counterpart. The Goddess also produces from her forehead a śakti by the name of Caṇḍikā or Kālī, who was the most violent of the śaktis. It is Kālī who slays Raktabīja (“Blood-Drop”), a demon with the ability to produce new demons from drops of his blood, by lapping up all of his blood with her long tongue. The climax of this story occurs in the final battle between the Goddess and Śumbha. Śumbha taunts the Goddess for relying on the Mātrs for aid at which point she absorbs all the śaktis into her body and kills Śumbha.

This third story adds new dimensions and attributes to the personality of the Goddess that were not present in the second story. The first of these is an erotic element that, while not emphasized to a great degree, does for the first time, present the Goddess as a desirable woman. However, this erotic element

99 DM 6.9.
is abandoned once the fighting commences and this story relates the details of her exploits in battle in much more gruesome detail than did the second story, especially the description of Kāñī and her violent, bloodthirsty nature. The inclusion of the Mātrs is also unique to the third story. While the narrative describes the śaktis as produced by the Gods, they are absorbed into the body of the Goddess before her final battle with Šumbha. When Šumbha accuses her of relying on the power of others to win her battle, she replies, “I alone exist in this world, what other than I is there? O Wicked one, see these are my manifestations of power entering back into me!” After this announcement she conquers Šumbha alone.

This third and final myth of the Goddess is unique not only in the description of the Goddess and her śaktis: this story contains two stotras. The first stotra, which consists of thirty verses, occurs at the beginning of the story. The majority of this stotra is characterized by a highly repetitive style. Each of these verses of the stotra isolates one quality of the Goddess that should be

\[\text{ekāvāhaṁ jagat yatra dvitiyā kā mamāparāḥ pasyaitā duśta mayyeva viśantyo madvibhūtayah//5//} \]


These are qualities that should be familiar to the reader from the previous stotras including: māyā, cetana, buddhi, nidrā, śakti, etc. DM 5.12-34.
praised. After a particular quality has been identified the refrain “Hail to her, hail to her, hail to her, hail, hail!” is repeated.\textsuperscript{102}

Following the slaying of all of the \textit{asuras}, the Gods begin to praise the Goddess again with a \textit{stotra} of thirty-five verses. Here the Goddess is praised as fierce towards her enemies and loving towards her devotees, as the ultimate reality, as universal truth, and as the source of liberation. With the Goddess, her \textit{matrs} and \textit{saktis} are also recognized as parts of her and are praised. This hymn also describes the benefits of worshipping the Goddess. Devotees who praise her will be blessed with knowledge, prosperity, sons, and an understanding of \textit{dharma}.

Having heard of the power of the Goddess and the benefit to those who worship her, Suratha and Samādi thanked Medhas for his instruction and traveled to the banks of a river where they began to worship the Goddess. The two sculpted an image of the Goddess from the clay of the riverbank and offered it incense, flowers, fire, and water along with blood from their own bodies\textsuperscript{103} for a period of three years. After three years had passed the Goddess appeared before the king and the \textit{vaiśya} and granted each of them a boon. The king was

\textsuperscript{102} \textit{namastasyai namastasyai namastasyai namo namah}// (Repeated in \textit{DM} 5.12-34).

\textsuperscript{103} \textit{DM}, 13.5-10.
given an imperishable kingdom and the vaiśya was given the knowledge that leads to mokṣa.

The Goddess as Mahāsurī

Despite the differences between the three stories, these narratives share a fundamental conception of the Goddess as a source of energy and efficacy who, when praised correctly and sufficiently, will champion the cause of her devotees. And, as the reader learns through the frame story of the king and the vaiśya, the Goddess hears and responds to the praises of Gods and humans alike. As regards this great potential for action against demon foes, the question as to what makes the Goddess especially adept at overpowering forces that the Gods cannot deal with still remains. This interesting question could be addressed from a number of perspectives, but Hale's work on the figure of the asura in early Vedic religion provides the most promising approach.

In this paper the word “asura” has been used interchangeably with the word “demon” as is common Indological practice and acceptable in such contexts. In his work on the subject, Hale points out that in the “Family Books” of the Vedas many of the Gods are referred to as asuras; specifically Agni, Mitra, and, occasionally, Indra. Hale suggests that in this context, asura is best translated as a “lord” (divine or human) who has power that commands respect
and often a fighting force.\textsuperscript{104} Besides military power and respect, an \textit{asura} may also possess a power called \textit{māyā}.\textsuperscript{105} It is also worth noting that in this context \textit{asura} refers to particular beings rather than to a class of beings as it is used in later epic and purānic literature. At this stage, it is accurate to say that the term \textit{asura} does not indicate a demon or a figure opposed to the Gods, rather a being that is known for its \textit{māyā}.

That the Goddess possesses \textit{māyā} in abundance is evident in her epithet \textit{Mahāmāyā}. While \textit{māyā} is often defined as the power of illusion and hints at some sort of trickery or subterfuge, this association is heavily influenced by Vedāntic philosophical ideas and is not applicable in all cases. In reference to the Goddess and her \textit{māyā}, a better definition is that of “creative power,” which I have used earlier in this discussion. Jan Gonda suggests the following definition: “Incomprehensible wisdom and power enabling its possessor, or being able itself, to create, devise, contrive, effect, or do something,” which may include “phenomenal realization, display of a (wonderful) creative ability.”\textsuperscript{106} The use of

\textsuperscript{104} Hale, 52.

\textsuperscript{105} Hale occasionally defines \textit{māyā} as a sort of magical power, but most often he does not translate the word. He does suggest that \textit{māyā} could be related to the powers of nature or the power to create a natural phenomenon like the rain.

\textsuperscript{106} Jan Gonda, \textit{Change and Continuity in Indian Religion} (The Hague: Mouton and Co. Publishers, 1965), 166. Wisdom in ancient times, according to Gonda, was of a practical nature.
māyā to denote cunning and deception is evidence of the fundamental ambivalence associated with power (i.e. power in the Gods is good and in those opposed to the Gods it is bad). In the case of great displays of māyā, such as those of Mitra and Varuṇa who produce rain by their māyā, there is an evident connection with forces of nature and māyā. In such displays of māyā, Gonda suggests that there was a level of incredibility—that such an act defied what was understood as reality (perhaps hinting at the later uses of the term to define illusion or artifice). Asuras, as powerful beings or lords had a great deal of māyā. In the Goddess there is the power of māyā and also a power of a similar kind, that of śakti. In the case of an Īśvara, his śakti was his spouse and the active principle of his power. Gonda cites the Śvetāsvatara Upaniṣad as one important text in which the application of the Lord’s māyā is called śaktiyoga (application of śakti). So, the ideas of asura, śakti and māyā are closely related in ancient times and also in the DM, in which the Goddess is referred to as Mahāsūri and a great source of both māyā and of śakti. The discussion above is far from exhaustive and the link between the Goddess and the asura (or the powers of the asura) merits further inquiry.

107 Gonda, 167. sumāyā and durmāyā.
108 Gonda, 167-8.
109 Gonda, 171.
Chapter Three: A Comparative Discussion of Narratives

The Story of Anasūyā and the Brahmin Woman

One of the most distinct and arguably most important characteristics of the *DM* is its frequent valorization of śakti (female creative energy and power). In my reading of the *MārkP* I have found that there are three stories that portray the female characters as sources of energy and efficacy in what Pargiter and Hazra have titled Section II Chap. 10-44. Both of these scholars have suggested that this section, along with Section I, may have been affixed to the original *MārkP* in the 5th century. Based on my own reading I see little that would thematically link these two sections, but I see much similarity between Section II and Section IV, which is the *DM*. The following chapter is an evaluation of the stories of Section II in relation to themes of the *DM*.

The second section of the *MārkP* extends the narration of the birds from the previous section (Chap. 1-9). Now the focus has shifted from a discussion of the *MBh* to a conversation between a young Brahmin Sumati (Smarty) nicknamed Jaḍa (Dummy) because of his previous ignorance, and his father. Sumati, after a discourse on hell and the various punishments for certain sins, tells his father a story centered on the theme of female power in a time of crisis.
In this story\textsuperscript{110}, a Brahmin woman saves her husband from a curse of death. The woman’s husband, though a Brahmin, was a leper due to sins of his past life. He is exceedingly cruel to his wife, who bears his abuse without complaint. When her husband demands to be taken to visit the young courtesan he covets, his wife lifts her husband onto her shoulders and carries him to the courtesan’s house. Along the way, her husband accidentally brushes Māṇḍavya\textsuperscript{111} with his foot and the insulted Māṇḍavya curses him to die with the following sunrise. At this curse the Brahmin woman announces that, by her power, the sun will not rise again.\textsuperscript{112} The announcement holds true, and for many days the sun does not rise. At this point, even the Gods have become a bit frantic with the fear that no daylight will result in no offerings of sacrifice from the Earth’s humans. In their desperation, the Gods call upon Brahmā who explains to them that it was the power of the chaste and virtuous wife that had prevented the sun from rising. They would have to petition an equally powerful (i.e. virtuous)

\textsuperscript{110}MārkP, 16.

\textsuperscript{111}Māṇḍavya is a sage whose hermitage was on the Narmada River. He was falsely accused of theft by the ruling king of the region and impaled on a pole. In the Mahābhārata (Ādi Parvan, 107) he is known as Anımāṇḍavya. In the Mahābhārata, he is impaled on a trident and although the trident was later removed by the grace of Śiva, the tip (anī) remained in his body. Purānic Encyclopedia, s.v. Māṇḍavya and Anımāṇḍavya.

\textsuperscript{112}The power of truth (satya) is a common characteristic of faithful wives. For this reason, a virtuous wife may be known as a satyavati (one who possesses satya).
wife if they wished to see daylight again. The Gods petition Anasūyā,\textsuperscript{113} the powerful wife of the sage Atri. Anasūyā tells them that the power of a faithful wife may not be broken under any condition. She advises them to honor this Brahmin woman until she is appeased and agrees to let the sun rise as before. The Gods tell Anasūyā that she is the most virtuous and thus the most powerful woman they know. Because of her power, the Gods suggest that she should petition the Brahmin’s wife as she is best suited to the task. Anasūyā appeases the Brahmin woman by praising her virtue and by promising that she will restore the woman’s husband to her in a healthy condition if she agrees to allow the sun to rise as before. Anasūyā fulfills her promises and the sun is allowed to rise again.

In this story, we may observe some parallels to the stories of the \textit{DM}. The first of these is the obvious importance of the two women in the story. Both the Brahmin woman and Anasūyā are sources of power and, in this case, their power is derived from their great \textit{tapas} (pain, suffering) as virtuous wives.\textsuperscript{114} In the case

\begin{footnotes}
\footnote{Anasūyā was well-known for her \textit{tapaśśakti} (power that is possessed by women who undergo some sort of suffering, pain or austerities). By her \textit{tapaśśakti}, Anasūyā made the Gaṅgā flow and trees bear fruit during a drought. In the Valmiki \textit{Rāmāyaṇa}, Anasūyā taught Sītā that devotion to a husband is a woman’s greatest \textit{tapas} (pain, suffering). \textit{Purānic Encyclopedia}, s.v. Anasūyā.}
\footnote{In the text, Anasūyā refers to her own power as \textit{bala} (power, strength, force). Both of the women are addressed by the Gods, address each other, and are described with the following words: \textit{bhadraka} (auspicious, blessed, fortunate), \textit{kalyāṇī} (virtuous and}

\textit{Purānic Encyclopedia}, s.v. Anasūyā.}
\footnote{In the text, Anasūyā refers to her own power as \textit{bala} (power, strength, force). Both of the women are addressed by the Gods, address each other, and are described with the following words: \textit{bhadraka} (auspicious, blessed, fortunate), \textit{kalyāṇī} (virtuous and}
of the Brahmin’s wife, the display of her power has a decidedly negative impact on the Gods and they are not able to correct the disorder that she has caused. Brahmā recognizes the woman as a source of great power that can only be challenged by an equal power. Anasūyā honors the woman by addressing her respectfully and by restoring her husband to health. The similarities to the DM are seen in the recognition of a feminine power and the praise of that power.

In the first story of the DM, Brahmā recognizes that the Goddess is the highest reality and he honors her as a way to release Viṣṇu and preserve the process of creation. In the second story of the DM, the Goddess is given weapons, ornaments, clothing, and a lion mount by the Gods, who give these gifts as a way to honor (sammānīta) her. In all three stories of the DM the Gods also offer hymns of praise to the Goddess in order to secure her assistance.

Also, much like the situation in all three of the stories of the DM, the Gods face a situation that they have no power to resolve for themselves. With the restriction of the sunrise, the Brahmin woman created a cosmic disorder; the devas (the shining celestial beings) have been denied their share of the sacrifice. Similarly, in the second and third stories of the DM the Gods have been exiled by the asuras and this cosmic disorder forces them to wander the earth like mortals. In

a auspicious woman and wife), āryā (respectable and honorable woman), mahābhāgā (fortunate, illustrious), and pativrata (devoted and virtuous wife).
this textually prior story in the *MārkP*, the sun, also a *deva*, has been exiled and not allowed to shine in the sky and this exile affects the Gods in a most direct way. Because the humans will not be able to perform the sacrifices in darkness, the Gods will not be able to eat. Deprived of food, they may be rendered emaciated if the situation is allowed to go too long. In this situation, the disorder was caused by a virtuous wife, so it is best undone by Anasūyā (the most virtuous wife and most powerful wife). In all three of the stories of the *DM*, the Gods are dependent on the Goddess as a source of energy that is capable of action when they are not; a theme that is present in this story of the Brahmin woman and Anasūyā as well.

As this narrative comes to an end, the Gods grant Anasūyā a boon to thank her for her assistance. She requests that Brahmā, Śiva, and Viṣṇu be born (incarnated) as her sons.

**The Story of Dattātreya and Lakṣmī**

The next story that contains striking parallels with that of the *DM*, concerns Dattātreya who is Anasūyā’s son and an incarnation of the God Viṣṇu. Dattātreya is portrayed as a *yogin* who has attracted a group of young *munis* who wish to be his followers. Dattātreya does not wish to have followers,

---

115 *MārkP* 17.18-25.
so he attempts to get rid of them by first immersing himself in a lake for one hundred years. When he emerges from the water, he brings with him the beautiful Lakṣmī. When he sees that the munis are still waiting, he attempts to get rid of them by engaging in intercourse with Lakṣmī, drinking liquor in their presence, and playing musical instruments. Dattātreya’s behavior, which is uncharacteristic of renunciation, does not repulse the munis, who see his actions as a demonstration of his dispassion. Also of interest, is the fact that Dattātreya engages in his practice of austerities in the abodes of the cāṇḍālas, the lowest and most polluted people in the social hierarchy, who often work in cremation grounds.116 Furthermore, the term cāṇḍāla may also refer to women who are menstruating, or women who engage in kauśa rites (i.e. the practices of left-handed Śāktas). It is unwarranted to conclude that Dattātreya is a Śākta or is engaged in the practices of left-handed Śāktas, but it is safe to say that he seems to anticipate certain Śākta practices. The text does indicate that Dattātreya’s behavior should be seen as socially-inverted—the munis should be repulsed by it and should abandon Dattātreya after witnessing his behavior.

As this narrative continues, the reader learns that the Gods have been expelled from their heavenly abode yet again and this time by the Dānavas (asuras). A terrible battle ensues led by Jambha on the side of the Daityas

116 Monier-Williams, s.v. cāṇḍāla.
(asuras) and Śiva on the side of the Gods. They Gods are defeated and in their desperation they flee to the sage Brīhaspati\textsuperscript{117} who advises them to seek a boon from Dattātreya, someone who has obtained lordly powers through yoga (yogeśvara) and who is engaged in improper and degraded conduct (vikṛtācaraṇa). When the Gods reach Dattātreya’s abode they find the yogi in the presence of his consort (vanitā), Lakṣmī (the Goddess and Viṣṇu’s wife) and they find him busy drinking liquor. The Gods then approach Dattātreya and bow down at his feet. At first Dattātreya seems to be shocked and a bit amused by their behavior. He asks why they would think to bow down to a drunk who spends his time consorting with women, but the Gods insist that he is faultless (anagha) and worthy of their respect. Furthermore, the Gods insist that Lakṣmī must not be considered impure (na duṣyati) for she is the mother of the world, omniscient (sarvajña), and resembles the sun by having rays that bless the dvija and the cāṇḍāla equally.\textsuperscript{118} As soon as the Gods acknowledge the importance and power of Lakṣmī, Dattātreya agrees to aid them in their battle and tells them to bring the demons forth so that they may be burned by the fire from his eyes.

\textsuperscript{117} In the Vedas, Brīhaspati is the son of Aṅgiras who is the son of Brahmā. He is the Lord of speech and the teacher of the Gods as well as their advisor in battles against the asuras. Purānic Encyclopedia, s.v. Brīhaspati.

\textsuperscript{118} MārkP 13.54. yathāṁśumālā sūryasya dvija cāṇḍalasarāginī//54//.
As the narrative comes to a close, it happens that the Dānavas and Daityas are not destroyed by Dattātreya, but by Lakṣmī. The demons desire the beautiful Lakṣmī and take her onto their heads, presumably with the intention to steal her away. They act as if in entranced by her beauty. At this point Dattātreya explains to the Gods the "seven stations" of Lakṣmī and the fact that at the station of the head she forsakes the man and leaves for another abode. In a literal sense, the demons have let this woman “go to their heads” and she ruins them. With the Gods defeated by Lakṣmī, this section of the narrative comes to an end.

This story from the MārkP is complicated and interesting in a number of ways. First, it makes use of a familiar frame, which is the displacement of the Gods, but makes the story more complex by the inclusion of the figures of Dattātreya and Lakṣmī. That Dattātreya may anticipate the description Śākta practitioner engaged in what are often referred to as “left-handed” practice (the eating of meat, drinking alcohol and illicit sex) is possible and made even more likely by his presence in the abode of the cāṇḍāla. When the Gods approach

---

119 This is not the first time that the asuras have been overcome by their desire for the Goddess. In the Amritamanthan episode from the Ādi Parvan (18.32-47), Lakṣmī emerges from the waters during the churning of the ocean and the asuras begin an argument with the devas over who will possess Lakṣmī and the amrīta (nectar of immortality). Viṣṇu, by the power of his māyā take the form of a beautiful woman and the asuras, distracted by her beauty, hand over the amrīta.
Dattātreya and he attempts to put them off, the fact that they avidly endorse Lakṣmī as the pure mother of the world seems an explicit stamp of approval on Dattātreya’s practice. Such approval leads one to question the motive of the author of this section of the narrative. At one level it seems a clear endorsement of these arguably Śākta, but certainly unconventional and traditionally polluting practices. Also, the text clearly states that Lakṣmī gives her grace to the dvija and cāṇḍāla alike. To come to a more complete understanding of this section of the text a more in-depth discussion of the figure of Dattātreya is necessary.

Dattātreya’s Historical Importance

Antonio Rigopoulos has completed a detailed study of the figure of Dattātreya. Rigopoulos traces Dattātreya’s development from the earliest accounts of his birth, purāṇic accounts of him as a yogin and an avatāra of Viṣṇu, and finally into a God in his own right. In the early accounts of Dattātreya’s parents in the Vedas, and in some accounts from the Mahābhārata, it is Atri who is described as having restored the sun after the demon of eclipses, Svarbhānu, had covered the sun with darkness. Rigopoulos cites multiple variations of this story from the Vedas and the Brahmā and Liṅga Purāṇas and in each case, though by somewhat different means, Atri is able to restore the sun to its rightful

120 Rigopoulos, 7.
121 Rigopoulos, 19.
place in the sky even at one point becoming the sun himself. 122 This type of action, according to Rigopoulos is characteristic of Ṛṣis in purāṇas: they often appear as “savior-figures.”123 In the MārkP, the textual matrix produces a different version of the story in which, as was explained above, it was Atri’s wife who, by the intense power of her own chastity and virtue (satyabala), restored the sun to the sky. And it is from this feat that she receives the boon that results in her three sons. It seems an unlikely coincidence that the figure of power associated with this version of the story is female (Anasūyā) and that the following narrative concerning her son prominently and importantly includes the glorification of a female and her power. This section of the purāṇa has included two important instances of the glorification of females as sources of power that are able to solve problems that the Gods are unable to solve.

Rigopoulos cites the MārkP as the locus classicus of Dattātreya in all of purāṇic literature. In the MārkP, Dattātreya is an incarnation of Viṣṇu and a yogin influenced by practices that come to be associated with Śaktism, which represents the most “assimilative phase in the deity’s early development.”124 Rigopoulos goes on to explain that in the centuries following his appearance in the purāṇas, a variety of religious communities, particularly in the Marāṭhī

122 Mahabharata 1.114.39-42.
123 Rigopoulos, 3.
124 Rigopoulos, 27.
speaking regions of India, worship Dattātreya as an *avatāra* of Viṣṇu, a powerful *yogin*, and a wise guru.125 Because he is regarded by such a variety of communities that focus on different aspects of his being, Rigopoulos cautions that a clear knowledge of this figure’s *svabhāva* (essential personality) is difficult to ascertain, if not impossible.126 So, as the worship of Dattātreya develops, he becomes an important figure who is appropriated by different communities. Therefore, Dattātreya is not always associated with Śākta practices or with the Goddess in every literary account; however, he is portrayed in this way in the *MārkP*, which as was noted above, is a text of central importance for his development.

In further support of this understanding of Dattātreya as associated with some kinds of left-handed practices found in certain Śākta or *Tantra* circles are certain *Tantras*127 ascribed to Dattātreya. In his study of Śākta and *Tantric* literature, Teun Goudriaan mentions the *Gandharvatantra*, revealed by

125 Dattātreya is an important figure in the *Mahānubhāva* texts (13th c.), and the Vārkaṇi Marāṭhī Sant tradition, which demonstrates his importance in the Marāṭhī speaking areas of India. He has also been a popular figure in the Śaiva ascetic orders of the Nāthas. Dattātreya also has some importance for Jainism and at Mt. Abu (sacred Jain center) there are sacred places reserved for Dattātreya *pūjā* and a shrine dedicated to his mother, Anasūyā. See Rigopoulos Chaps. 4-6.

126 Rigopoulos, 29. Because certain communities may focus on Dattātreya as a *yogin*, while others emphasize his importance as an *avatāra* of Viṣṇu, etc.

127 *Tantric ritual texts* (*Tantras*) provide instructions for ritual practice (*sādhana*).
Dattātreya to Viśvāmitra, which contains sections on Tantric pūjā.\textsuperscript{128} Goudriaan also mentions a Dattātreyatantra of about 700 ślokas on Ṣaṭkarman rites.\textsuperscript{129} In another Tantric text (also classified as an important Śrīvidyā\textsuperscript{130} text), the Tripurārahasya text of 12,000 ślokas in which the figures, Sumedha (narrator and student of Paraśurāma, who attributes his enlightenment to Dattātreya), and Nārada engage in a dialogue concerning the mythology of Tripurā and the underlying philosophy behind her worship.\textsuperscript{131} Worthy of note in this text is the Māhātmyakhaṇḍa, which details the exploits of the Goddess and her slaying of various demons including a buffalo demon.\textsuperscript{132} The association of these Tantric texts with Dattātreya, as having been written by him or his disciples, is one more indication of his place of importance within certain strands of the textual tradition of the Tantras. Taking into account the description of Dattātreya in the MārkP and his identification of Lakṣmī as the origin of the world, it does not seem surprising that he would be an important figure in later texts glorifying the Goddess.

\textsuperscript{128} Goudriaan, 73.

\textsuperscript{129} These rites are instructions on the “six acts of magic” that are discussed in certain texts. Goudriaan, 117.

\textsuperscript{130} In South India, especially in the state of Tamil Nadu, the Śrīvidyā School of Śākta Tantrism is devoted to the benevolent form of Tripurā (also known as Lālitā).

\textsuperscript{131} Tripurā is an important Tantric form of the Goddess Lakṣmī and is worshiped in this form in Kashmir and other parts of Southern India.

\textsuperscript{132} Goudriaan, 166-167.
The Lakṣmī Section

In the third narrative from Section II of the MārkP in which there are pronounced similarities with the DM, the Goddess is shown as a source of energy and efficacy for those devotees who worship her in earnest.

This narrative begins (Chap. 30-33) as the hero Kuvalayāśva is in pursuit of Pātālaketu ("He who carries the standard of Hell"), a demon who has been terrorizing the sages of his kingdom. While riding in the forest, Kuvalayāśva falls into a deep chasm in the earth and, when he regains his composure, he sees that he is in front of a vast underground city. As his eyes begin to focus in the dim light, he sees a beautiful woman. This woman, Madālasā, was kidnapped by Pātālaketu, who had taken her to the underground city to hide her. Madālasā is accompanied by a maidservant named Kuṇḍala. Kuṇḍala informs Kuvalayāśva that she wishes to retire to the forest to practice austerities, but will not be able to do this until she is sure that her friend, Madālasā, is married to a noble man. Kuvalayāśva is happy to oblige, and after Kuṇḍala performs the marriage ceremony for the two of them he takes his bride back home to his family. The couple lives happily until a day comes, when the brother of Pātālaketu, Talāketu ("He who carries the standard of Hell"), exacts his revenge. Posing as a muni in the forest, Talāketu sees Kuvalayāśva riding and asks him to stand guard at the hermitage while he leaves to perform some sacrifice. Kuvalayāśva agrees and
remains at the dwelling. Meanwhile, Talāketu proceeds to the palace and informs the king, queen, and Madālasā that Kuvalayāśva has perished in the forest. Talāketu shows the family a ring that he managed to steal from Kuvalayāśva. This ring was very dear to Kuvalayāśva, and, seeing that Talāketu has it, they are convinced that Kuvalayāśva is dead. The family is grief-stricken and Madālasā, unable to bear the thought of life without her husband, falls dead. When Kuvalayāśva finally returns home, his family and the entire kingdom is filled with joy at the realization that he is not dead, but this reunion is bittersweet when he realizes that his wife died as a result of Talāketu’s subterfuge.

Kuvalayāśva, out of respect and admiration for his deceased wife, promises to live a life of celibacy and refuses to remarry. In the meantime, two Nāga princes who are his best friends relate his tragic story to their father, the Nāga king Aśvatara. Aśvatara is very disheartened to hear that Kuvalayāśva, who has been so kind to his sons, has met with such a tragedy. Aśvatara then decides that he will do all he can to restore Madālasā to life. In order to accomplish this goal, the Nāga king travels to a place of pilgrimage in the Himālayas called Plakshāvataraṇa (the source of the Sarasvatī river) to propitiate the Goddess Sarasvatī. There in the mountains he practices rigorous austerities, and performs his ablutions morning, afternoon, and evening. He praises the Goddess with a stotra, the focus of which is her embodiment as sound and
speech. The entire universe and all things are said to be found in the syllables and vowels that are the Goddess herself. Aśvatara requests that the Goddess make him and his brother, Kambala, conversant with sound. Aśvatara is successful, the Goddess responds to his praises and bestows upon him and his brother Kambala the power of sound. With this power, the two brothers are able to awaken (or get the attention of) Śiva who dwells in the mountains.

When the Nāgas have the attention of the God, they pose to him their formal request. They ask that Madālasā be restored to life and that she appear just as she did before her death. Śiva agrees to grant them their request and instructs them to perform the śrāddha ritual, which is a ritual that is performed for a dead family member. When the ritual is completed, Śiva instructs them to eat the middle pīṇḍa (a ball of rice and meal that is offered during the ritual). If these tasks are completed, Śiva tells them, Madālasā will rise up from Aśvatara’s hood. The Nāgas complete the rituals, restore Madālasā to life, and return her to the overjoyed Kuvalayāśva.

**The Sarasvatī Stotra**

To understand better the role that Sarasvatī plays in this narrative it will be useful to examine the Sarasvatī stotra in closer detail. In this stotra, the Nāga king praises various aspects of the Goddess Sarasvatī, with special attention
given to her embodiment in sound, specifically song and mantra. It is her gift of sound that allows the Nāgas’ to get the attention of Śiva and bring Madālasā back to life.

As the stotra begins, the Nāga king announces that he about to worship the great Goddess Sarasvatī Jagaddhātrī (worldnurse).\footnote{Monier-Williams, s.v. jagaddhatī.} He then describes the Goddess as “brahmhayonim,” which is a śleṣa, a word or phrase that has two levels of meaning. On one level, the formless Brahman is the source of all things; therefore, she has Brahman as her source.\footnote{MārkP 33.31-2. jagadṛśāsam aham devīm ārirādhaye śuḥśubham/stoṣye praṇaṃyā śiraśā brahmayanim sarvasatīm//31// (“I am about to begin worshipping the auspicious jagaddhātrīm whose source is Brahman (who is the source of Brahman). I will praise (celebrate with songs or hymns) Sarasvati with a bowed head.”)\footnote{MārkP 33.33. tvam aksaram param devī yatra sarvam pratiṣṭitam/aṣṭram paramaṃ brahma jagac caitat kṣarātmakam//33// (“O Goddess! You are the highest indestructible syllable, the place in which all things are grounded. Highest and indestructible is Brahma, and this world is perishable by nature.”) } On another level, as Sarasvatī, the form of speech and wisdom, she is actually the source of the śabdabrahman. In the next verse, the stotra continues to praise the Goddess as the basic and indestructible syllable that is the source of language (akṣara). In this way she is contrasted with the rest of the world, which is perishable by nature.\footnote{MārkP 33.33. tvam aksaram param devī yatra sarvam pratiṣṭitam/aṣṭram paramaṃ brahma jagac caitat kṣarātmakam//33// (“O Goddess! You are the highest indestructible syllable, the place in which all things are grounded. Highest and indestructible is Brahma, and this world is perishable by nature.”)} The next verse expands upon this metaphor by likening the energy of the śabdabrahman, which is present in the Goddess, to the fire that lies concealed in wood. Precious
speech is held within her just as fire lies hidden in wood. In the same way as the many atomic elements that make up all things are all made up of the one substance earth, so all of the things of the universe consist of her nature. In the lines that follow these, there is a repeated emphasis on the fact that the Goddess encompasses all things that are permanent and eternal; as well as, the more transitory and impermanent things. All things, regardless of their nature, come from her.

In the following verses, the focus shifts to emphasize the essential nature of the Goddess as a trinity. What follows is a list of triads that are contained within the Goddess. She is the three types of vowels (mātrātrayam), the three worlds (triloka), three Gods (trayo devā), three Vedas, the three varṇas, the three guṇas, and the three times (evening, midnight, and daybreak). After the list is complete the author concludes “etan mātrātrayam devi tava rūpaṃ sarasvatī” The Goddess, as the eternal śabdabrahman, is emphasized again when the author explains that all of the different kinds of sacrifices: the seven somasamsthā sacrifices, haviḥsamsthā sacrifices, and the seven pākasamsthā

136 MārkP 33.34. dārṇy avasthito vahnir bhaumāś ca paramāṇavaḥ tatha tvayi sthitam brahma jagac cedam aśeṣatah/34// (“Fire is contained within wood and the tiny atoms are made up of earth. In the same way, in you resides Brahman and the impermanent world, without any left out.”)
137 Long, short, and prolated
138 MārkP, 33.38. (“O Goddess Sarasvatī! These measures of three are your form.”)
sacrifices are performed with the utterance of her name. With these lines, the author is identifying the figure of the Goddess with older categories such as vyakta (manifested) Brahman. In the following lines the author stresses that while the speaking of her “name” is the power at the heart of the sacrifice, her form is impossible to describe. She is without beginning and without end and within her all opposites, contradictions, and distinctions are dissolved. With this description, the author describes her origination from the highest, avyakta (formless) Brahman.

After the Nāga king has praised the Goddess, she grants him and his brother Kambala the power of song, or what could be interpreted as the power of knowing all possible mantras, or all possible combinations of sounds. It is then that the two Nāgas use their newly acquired powers to attract the attention of Śiva who resides on Mt. Kailasa.

A Possible Śrīvidyā Connection

This emphasis in this stotra fits within the thematic trends that have been previously examined within this work. These are: the emphasis on the Goddess as the power of speech, the emphasis on the Goddess as an essential unity that admits of no differences or distinctions, and the emphasis on the Goddess as ultimate reality. The presence of Śiva and his role in the narrative is something
that is unique to this section. The following discussion of Śrīvidyā Śākta Tantrism provides one possible way in which to explain the presence of Śiva and his significance to this narrative.

Śrīvidyā is a school that has been popular in South India (especially Tamil Nadu) and Kashmir since the sixth century. It is generally classified as falling within the Śrīkula category of Śākta Tantrism. Śrīvidyā is a highly developed tradition with its own important texts, theology, and ritual practices that are centered on the worship of the Goddess, known as Lalitā, Śrī, and Tripurasundarī (a phrase which signifies “the beauty of the three cities”), who is the active principle at the source of the entire universe. The Goddess is seen as one half of supreme reality, the other half is her consort Śiva, who is eternal and passive consciousness. In this school the Goddess is understood as a trinity, the three manifestations of which represent different aspects of her existence. These three manifestations have their clearest and most authoritative explanation in the work of the popular theologian, Bhāskararāya. These manifestations are: physical


\[140\] Śākta Tantrism is generally classified as having two fundamental categories. The Kālīkula tradition emphasizes the ghora and raudra (violent, fearsome) aspects of the Goddess, while Śrīkula emphasizes the saumya or aghora (not violent, peaceful) aspects of the Goddess.

\[141\] Brooks, 14.
(sthūlā), subtle (sūkṣmā), and supreme (parā). The sthūlā manifestation refers to the physical and later to the iconic representations of the Goddess. Although she is primarily a gentle and benign Goddess, she does take on terrible and violent manifestations; most notably in her victory over the demon Baṇḍa. As a beautiful Goddess who is famous for her victories over demons and who is often portrayed seated on a lion, the similarities between her iconic representation and that of Dūrgā in the DM are strong.

Her second manifestation, the sūkṣmā (subtle aspect), is the Śrīvidyā-mantra. With this fifteen (according to some authorities sixteen) syllable mantra, a properly initiated devotee is able to access a tremendous amount of power that will, according to Kathleen Erndl, result in “the attainment of all desires, the conquering of all worlds, achievement of unity with Śiva, breaking through the illusion of Viṣṇu, and obtaining the supreme Brahman.” According to Douglas Renfrew Brooks, the power of the mantra lies in the fact that it is a potent stringing together of pure seed syllables (bījākṣara), thus the mantra is an expression of the ultimate reality and the linguistic form of the absolute Brahman. Brooks explains the mantra in this way.

142 Erndl, 155.
143 Erndl, 155.
144 Brooks, 112.
The mantra’s power stems from the combination of its inherent capacity as an emanation of the Goddess with the acquisition of grace through diligent self-effort. Since the mantra is a concentrated form of the divine power it has the capacity to bring about events that defy all normal and conventional modes of understanding.\textsuperscript{145}

The final manifestation of the Goddess according to the Šrīvidyā School is her parā aspect, which is represented by the śrīcakra. This yantra is a geometrical representation of the Goddess in the form of five downward-facing triangles (representative of Śakti) and four upward-facing triangles (Śiva). At the center-most point of the forty-three triangles that are formed from the intersection of Śakti and Śiva is a dot (bindu) that represents the union of the two. Brooks describes the function of the yantra as occurring on three levels. The first level is as a visual map of the powers that are at the center of creation; the second level is a source of power for those practitioners who have been initiated and trained to use it; the third level is as the presence (sadbhava) of the divine that is worthy of worship and respect in itself.\textsuperscript{146}

I have not found any clear evidence by means of primary or secondary sources, which claims that the Sarasvatī stotra from the MārkP is a Šrīvidyā text

\textsuperscript{145} Brooks, 112.
\textsuperscript{146} Brooks, 118.
and it is not my intention to make such a claim. In fact, there has been little to no attention paid to the stotra in secondary scholarship at all. However, I do see in the Śrīvidyā conceptions of the Goddess as a trinity and in the importance of her manifestation in speech (specifically mantra), some similarities that may be helpful in making sense of the Sarasvatī stotra. There is a strong resonance between the presentation of the Goddess in the Sarasvatī stotra and that of the Śrīvidyā school; the depiction of the Goddess as a trinity, the power of her speech, and the role of Śiva in restoring Madālasā to life.
Conclusion

This work has explored in detail one thematic link between two sections of the MārkP, but this topic is far from exhausted. This study has opened the door to a plethora of options for continued research. On an historical level, the question as to how the text of the MārkP developed as a purāṇa is still largely unknown. Pargiter’s system of dividing and dating the sections of the MārkP has been a very important development in the study of this text, but it may be the case that Pargiter’s conclusions could be reevaluated. It is possible that the publication of a Critical Edition would advance the understanding of the development of the text, but this remains speculative. In the absence of a Critical Edition, perhaps the best approach is the one I have adopted in this work; specifically, to read the text for connections that may offer clues as to how the narratives are related.

The logical and necessary next step in the study of this text is to examine the entire MārkP for further evidence of narrative and thematic continuity. The MārkP is a very extensive text, so it is very likely that subsequent readings will bring to light other important connections that need to be explored.

The relationship between the MārkP and the epic Mahābhārata is another subject that is important. That the authors of the MārkP were aware of and
concerned with the epic is evident in the first sections of the *MārkP*, which address directly certain issues from the epic. The *Mahābhārata* has also been an important text in the development of the Goddess tradition. A comparative study of the presentation of the Goddess in the *Mahābhara*ta and the *MārkP* has not yet been completed.

The possibilities for continued research are not confined solely to textual studies. Thinking about the *DM* in the *MārkP* is relevant in terms of its oral and liturgical history as well. The emphasis on speech as an essential aspect of Goddess devotion is clearly illustrated in the *DM* and Section II of the *MārkP*, where the Goddess is repeatedly praised as vāc and other units of sacred utterance. The emphasis on the proper recitation of the *DM* seems fitting if we consider each verse of the text is like a *mantra*. However, questions as to how the text came to be thought of and used in this way still remain.
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